DOCKETEDEEL

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EX	AMINING AUTHORITY	•				
To: ALICE O. CARROLL HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD, P.O. BOX 9133			PC	T		
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			WRITTEN C	PINION		
			(PCT Rul	le 66)		
	,	Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	11 JUL	_ 2006		
Applicant's or agent's file reference	·	REPLY DUE	within 1 months/	davs from		
2345.2046002			the above date of	f mailing		
International application No.	International filing date		Priority date (d	•		
PCT/US03/34801 International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classification		01 November 2	2002 (01.11.2002)		
IPC: C12Q 1/68(2006.01) USPC: 435/6.91.2 Applicant			· ·		<u>. </u>	
DECODE GENETICS EHF.		·				
1. This written opinion is the fir	st (first, etc.) drawn by	this International Pr	eliminary Examin	ing Authority.		•
This opinion contains indicati	ons relating to the followi	ing items:				
I Basis of the opinion	on .					
II Priority		•	•			
	t of opinion with regard to	n novelty inventive	sten and industria	l applicability		
		,, ,				
IV Lack of unity of in						
	nt under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) anations supporting such s		lty, inventive step	or industrial applic	ability;	
VI Certain documents	s cited					
VII Certain defects in	the international applicati	on .				
VIII Certain observatio	ons on the international ap	plication ·				
3. The applicant is hereby invite	ed to reply to this opinion	1.				
When? See the time I	imit indicated above. The to grant an extension. Se	e applicant may; bef	ore the expiration	of that time limit,	request	
How? By submitting	a written reply, accompa	nied, where approp	riate, by amendmess 66.8 and 66.9.	ents, according to R	Rule 66.3.	
For the exami	nal opportunity to submit iner's obligation to consid- al communication with th	er amendments and/	or arguments, see	Rule 66.4 <i>bis</i> .		
If no reply is filed, the intern				he basis of this opir	nion.	
4. The final date by which the ir	nternational preliminary		- 2005 (01 02 20)		•	
examination report must be examination and mailing address of the IPEA/US		Authorized office				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/ US Commissioner for Patents	•	Juliet Switzer	7. Robe	as for		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (., , , -	BEC	A RELEA		
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)						ED
Form PC1/1PEA/400 (cover sheet)(July 19	770)		Rec'd IF	0 JUL	. 1 3 200	16
	•			HAMILT SMITH & D	ON RP	<u>ባ</u> ርኮ :
·				SMITH & R	EYNOL	DS, P.C.

C8

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.		
PCT/US03/34801		

I.	Bas	sis of the opinion	
1.	With	h regard to the elements of the international application:*	
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed	
	\boxtimes	the description:	
		pages 1-91, as originally filed	
		pages NONE, filed with the demand	
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
	\square	the claims:	
		pages 92-101 , as originally filed	
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	,
		pages NONE , filed with the demand	
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of	•
		the drawings:	
		pages 1-299 , as originally filed	
		pages NONE , filed with the demand	
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
		the sequence listing part of the description:	
		pages NONE, as originally filed	
		pages NONE , filed with the demand	
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
2.	lang	th regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Auguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item are elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language	thority in thewhich is:
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.	1(b)).
	Ħ	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	. , ,
	H	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examina	tion(under Rules
	Ш	55.2 and/or 55.3).	MOM MADE RAISE
3.		th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applicati nion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:	on, the written
		contained in the international application in printed form.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	
	Ш	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the international application as filed has been furnished.	disclosure in the
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the writh has been furnished.	en sequence listing
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description, pages NONE	
		the claims, Nos. NONE	
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE	
5.		This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been c beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	onsidered to go
		acement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article nion as "originally filed."	14 are referred to in

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US03/34801

	on-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
1. The question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application,					
	claims Nos. <u>4-44 and 46-51</u>					
	because:					
	the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require international preliminary examination (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear					
	that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 4-44 and 46-51.					
	A written opinion cannot be drawn due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:					
	the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.					
	the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.					
ım PCT	(IPEA/408 (Box III) (July 1998)					

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US03/34801

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.26 citations and explanations supporting			or industrial applicability;
1. STATEMENT			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-3 and 45	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	1-3 and 45	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-3 and 45	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-3 and 45, with respect to considered species SNP at 16802968) meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for detecting a predisposition to type II diabetes that utilizes the detection of a polymorphism in a SLIT-3 nucleic acid.

Claims 1-3 and 45 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

WRITTEN (TOTATION

International application No.

PCT/US03/34801

VIII.	Certain	observations	on	the	international	application
-------	---------	--------------	----	-----	---------------	-------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1-3 and 45, as they relate to species SNP at 168029068 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because of the claims not fully supported by the description. The description does not disclose the claimed invention in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for the claimed invention to be carried out by a person skilled in the art because: The claims are drawn to detecting a predisposition to developing type II diabetes by detecting a polymorphism in a SLIT-3 nucleic acid. The claims are broadly drawn to include the use of any possible polymorphism within a SLIT-3 nucleic acid. The description teaches a sequence provided in figure 10 which is a SLIT-3 nucleic acid, and gives a list of polymorphisms within this gene in Table 11. The description does not provide an analysis of any or all of these individual SNP, in particular not for the SNP at position 168029068. It is highly unpredictable which SNP within the SLIT-3 nucleic acid will be reliably associated with the phenotype type II diabetes, and thus, for the broad claims the description does not provide adequate enablement. Likewise, it is highly unpredictable whether or not the single polymorphism 168029068 will be associated with the phenotype type II diabetes and so even for the claim 3 which was considered for this polymorphism the practice of the claimed invention is not enabled at least because of the lack of teaching in the specification and the highly unpredictable nature of the invention.

WR	m	ΓEN	OP	INI	ON

International application No. PCT/US03/34801

Sun	plemer	ıtal	Box
\sim ω	DICTIO.		

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.